

A NEW MEASURE OF ASYMMETRY OF BINARY WORDS

OLEXANDR RAVSKY

*Department of Mathematics, Ivan Franko Lviv National University
 Universytetska 1, Lviv, Ukraine
 e-mail: oravsky@mail.ru*

ABSTRACT

A binary word is symmetric if it is a palindrome or an antipalindrome. We define a new measure of asymmetry of a binary word equal to the minimal number of letters of the word whose deleting from the word yields a symmetric word and obtain upper and lower estimations of this measure.

Keywords: palindrome, measure of symmetry, measure of asymmetry.

In this paper under the term word we shall understand the binary word over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$. The set of all words can naturally be thought as a free semigroup with two generators, which we shall use in the notation of the words. There are various approaches to a measure of asymmetry of a word or a group colored into two colors [2], [4] (see [1] for more references). In the present paper we investigate a new measure of symmetry of a word, suggested by the question of Ihor Protasov [3]. By a *symmetric word* we shall understand a word which is a palindrome or an antipalindrome. A word $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$ is called a *palindrome* (respectively, an *antipalindrome*) if $a_i = a_{n-i+1}$ (respectively, $a_i \neq a_{n-i+1}$) for all $i \leq n$. Given a word w let $S_d(w)$ be the minimal number of letters of w whose deleting from w yields a palindrome or an antipalindrome. Observe that a word w is symmetric if and only if $S_d(w) = 0$. Thus the number $S_d(w)$ can be thought as an asymmetry measure of w . For every positive integer n let $S_d(n) = \max\{S_d(w) : w \in S, l(w) = n\}$ be the maximal asymmetry measure $S_d(w)$ of a word w of length $l(w) = n$.

Ihor Protasov observed that $S_d(n) \leq n/3$ for small n and asked in [3] if this estimation holds for every n . Computer calculations show that this conjecture fails already for $n = 10$. The values of $S_d(n)$ for $n \leq 20$ are in the table on the next page.

The main result of the paper is

Theorem 1 *For every $n \geq 2$ the number $S_d(n)$ lies in the range*

$$\left\lceil \frac{n + 2 \left\lceil \frac{n-3}{7} \right\rceil}{3} \right\rceil \leq S_d(n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil.$$

n	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$S_d(n)$	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	4
	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
	4	4	5	5	5	6	7	7	7	8

In order to prove the main theorem we need some lemmas.

Lemma 2 *Let $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$ be a word with $a_1 = a_n$. If after deleting some k letters we obtain a palindrome, then we can obtain a palindrome by deleting $l \leq k$ letters such that the letters a_1, a_n rest undeleted.*

Proof. If after deleting some k letters we obtain a palindrome, and both of the letters a_1 and a_n were deleted, then we simply undelete these letters and obtain a longer palindrome. If only one of the letters a_1 and a_n (say, a_n) was deleted then there exists $m < n$ such that the letters $a_{m+1} \dots a_n$ were deleted and a_m was not. Then $a_m = a_1$ and we may delete the letter a_m instead of the letter a_n . \square

Lemma 3 *Let $w = a_1 \cdots a_n$ be a word with $a_1 \neq a_n$. If after deleting some k letters we obtain an antipalindrome, then we can obtain an antipalindrome by deleting $l \leq k$ letters such that the letters a_1, a_n rest undeleted.*

Proof. It is similar to that of Lemma 2. \square

The first $\lfloor l(w)/2 \rfloor$ letters of a word w are called *the first half* and the last $\lfloor l(w)/2 \rfloor$ letters of w *the second half* of the word w .

Lemma 4 *Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \{(0, 0), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2), (4, 2)\}$. Let $w_{n, \alpha, \beta} = b^{n+1}(ab)^n b^{2n+1+\alpha} a^{2n+1+\beta}$. Then $S_d(w_{n, \alpha, \beta}) \geq 3n + 1 + \lceil (\alpha + \beta)/3 \rceil$.*

Proof. Note that $l(w_{n, \alpha, \beta}) = n + 1 + 2n + 2n + 1 + \alpha + 2n + 1 + \beta = 7n + 3 + \alpha + \beta$. It is easy to check that for all α, β from the lemma's condition we have $\lceil (\alpha + \beta)/3 \rceil \leq \beta$ and $\lceil (\alpha + \beta)/3 \rceil \leq \alpha - \beta$. Suppose that we delete k letters from the word $w_{n, \alpha, \beta}$ and obtain a final palindrome p . We shall show that $k \geq 3n + 1 + \lceil (\alpha + \beta)/3 \rceil$. Since a palindrome has the same first and last letters, either the first $n + 1$ letters b , or the last $2n + 1 + \beta$ letters a are deleted.

Assume that the first $n + 1$ letters b were deleted. Suppose that $l(p) > l(w_{n, \alpha, \beta}) - (3n + 1) - \lceil (\alpha + \beta)/3 \rceil = 4n + 2 + \alpha + \beta - \lceil (\alpha + \beta)/3 \rceil \geq 4n + 2 + \alpha + \beta - \beta > 2(2n)$. Then every letter from the subword $(ab)^n$ either is deleted, or belongs to the first half of the final palindrome. Therefore the second half of the final palindrome is equal to $b^{k_b} a^{k_a}$ and the first half of the final palindrome is equal to $a^{k_a} b^{k_b}$ for some nonnegative numbers k_a and k_b . If in the subword $(ab)^n$ it was deleted i_a letters a and i_b letters b then $i_a + i_b \geq n - 1$. If $k_b = 0$ then $l(p) \leq n + 2n + 1 + \beta + 1 < 4n + 2 + \alpha$, a contradiction. Therefore there exists a letter b at the second half and only $n - i_a$

letters from the subword $a^{2n+1+\beta}$ have a pair in the first half of the final palindrome. Thus other $2n+1+\beta-(n-i_a)$ letters a of the subword $a^{2n+1+\beta}$ are deleted. Thus in this case $l(p) \leq 7n+3+\alpha+\beta-((n+1)+(i_a+i_b)+(2n+1+\beta-(n-i_a))) = 5n+1+\alpha-2i_a-i_b \leq 4n+2+\alpha-i_a \leq 4n+2+\alpha+\beta-[(\alpha+\beta)/3]$, a contradiction. Therefore $l(p) \leq l(w_{n,\alpha,\beta}) - (3n+1) - [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$ and $k \geq 3n+1 + [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$.

Assume now that the last $2n+1+\beta$ letters a are deleted. Then Lemma 2 implies that it suffices to show that we cannot delete $l_d < n + [(\alpha+\beta)/3] - \beta$ letters from the word $(ab)^n b^{n+\alpha}$ and obtain a palindrome q . If $l(q)$ is an odd number and we have m letters a in the second half then there is at most $n-m-1$ letters b in the first half of q and therefore $l(q) \leq 1+2m+2(n-m-1) = 2n-1$. If $l(q)$ is even and we have m letters a in the second half of q , then there are at most $n-m$ letters b in the first half and therefore $l(q) \leq 2m+2(n-m) = 2n$. In the both cases $l_d \geq 3n+\alpha-l(q) \geq n+\alpha \geq n + [(\alpha+\beta)/3] - \beta$.

Suppose that we delete k letters from the word $w_{n,\alpha,\beta}$ and obtain an antipalindrome. We shall show that $k \geq 3n+1 + [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$. Lemma 3 implies that it suffices to show that we cannot delete $l < 3n+1 + [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$ letters from the word $(ab)^n b^{2n+1+\alpha} a^{n+\beta}$ and obtain an antipalindrome p .

Suppose that all the letters of the second half of the final antipalindrome p belongs to the word $b^{2n+1+\alpha} a^{n+\beta}$. Therefore the first half of p has a representation $b^{k_a} a^{k_b}$ similar to representation $b^{k_b} a^{k_a}$ of its second half. If $k_b = 0$ then $l(p) \leq 2(n+\beta)$ and $l \geq 5n+1+\alpha+\beta-2n-2\beta = 3n+1+\alpha-\beta \geq 3n+1 + [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$. If $k_b > 0$ then in the subword $(ab)^n$ it was deleted $i_a \geq k_a$ letters a . Since $k_b \leq n-i_a$, we obtain that $l(p) \leq 2(k_a+k_b) \leq 2(k_a+n-k_a) = 2n$. Thus in this case $l \geq 5n+1+\alpha+\beta-2n \geq 3n+1 + [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$.

Suppose that in the second half of the final antipalindrome p there are $m > 0$ letters of the word $(ab)^n$. Therefore the word consisting of the first $l(p)/2 - m$ letters of p is equal to $b^{k_a} a^{k_b}$, while the word consisting of the last $l(p)/2 - m$ letters is equal to $b^{k_b} a^{k_a}$. Then in the subword $(ab)^n$ were deleted $i_a \geq k_a$ letters a and $i_b \geq k_b - 1$ letters b . Consequently, $l(p) \leq 2n - i_a - i_b + k_a + k_b \leq 2n+1$. Since $l(p)$ is even $l(p) \leq 2n$. Thus in this case $l \geq 5n+1+\alpha+\beta-2n \geq 3n+1 + [(\alpha+\beta)/3]$. \square

Now we can prove Theorem 1.

Proof. To prove that $S_d(n) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ it suffices to remark that we can always delete all letters a or all letters b and obtain a palindrome. Let $S'_d(n) = \lceil \frac{n+2[(n-3)/7]}{3} \rceil$. Lemma 4 yields that for every numbers $t \geq 1, 0 \leq k \leq 6$ we have $S_d(7t+3+k) \geq 3t+1 + \lceil k/3 \rceil$. The computer calculation shows that it is true also for $t = 0$. On the other hand, $S'_d(7t+3+k) = \lceil (7t+3+k+2t)/3 \rceil = 3t+1 + \lceil k/3 \rceil$. \square

The referee noted the following

Remark 1 It is easy to check that the inequality in the lemma is in fact an equality. This is of course not needed to prove the theorem, but reveals that there is no hope to get a better bound using the same words.

Remark 2 For all $2 \leq n \leq 20$ the lower bound from Theorem 1 is exact.

Remark 3 Like in many other related with symmetry problems, we may consider the following antagonistic game. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The first player selects a word of the length n . Then beginning with the second player, two players consequently delete letters of this word. The game ends when the word becomes a palindrome or an antipalindrome. The gain g_1 of the first player is the number of moves.

Consider the following strategy of the first player for $n \geq 6$. At the beginning the first player selects the word $a^k b^{k+2}$ for even n and the word $a^k b^{k+3}$ for odd n . If the second player has deleted a letter a then the first player deletes a letter b and conversely. This strategy yields an estimation $g_1(n) \geq n - 4 \gg S_d(n)$.

References

- [1] T. BANAKH, I. PROTASOV, Symmetry and colorings: Some results and open problems, *Izvestiya Gomel'skogo Universiteta. Voprosy Algebry*, Vol. 17, **3(6)**, (2001), 4–15.
- [2] T. BANAKH, O. VERBITSKY, YA.VOROBETS, A Ramsey treatment of symmetry, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, **7(1)**, (2000), R52.
- [3] I. PROTASOV, Palindromial equivalence: one theorem and two problems. *Matem. Studii* **14**, #1, (2000), 111.
- [4] O. RAVSKY, On the palindromic decomposition of binary words. *Journal of Automata, Languages and Combinatorics*, (to appear).